

BEFORE THE ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL APPEALS BOARD
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IRMA JACINTO ENRIQUEZ)	AB-7240
dba Taco Naso Restaurant)	
6106 Rita Street)	File: 41-321017
Huntington Park, CA 90255,)	Reg: 98043374
Appellant/Licensee,)	
)	Motion to Dismiss
v.)	the Appeal
)	
DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC)	Date and Place of the
BEVERAGE CONTROL,)	Appeals Board Hearing:
Respondent.)	December 2, 1999
)	Los Angeles, CA

Irma Jacinto Enriquez, doing business as Taco Naso Restaurant (appellant), appeals from a decision of the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control which suspended her on-sale beer and wine license for 15 days, for permitting the sale of an alcoholic beverage to a person under the age of 21 years, being contrary to the universal and generic public welfare and morals provisions of the California Constitution, article XX, §22, and Business and Professions Code §24200, subdivision (a), arising from violations of Business and Professions Code §§24200, subdivision (b), and 25658, subdivision (a).

Appearances on appeal include appellant Irma Jacinto Enriquez, and the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control, appearing through its counsel, Matthew

G. Ainley.

FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Appellant's license was issued on November 6, 1996. Thereafter, the Department instituted an accusation against appellant for permitting the sale of an alcoholic beverage to a person under the age of 21 years. Appellant thereafter filed a timely notice of appeal.

Subsequent to the filing of the appeal in this matter, appellant's license was revoked in another matter before the Department. The Department in this matter thereafter filed its motion to dismiss the appeal based on the fact that the license is revoked, rendering this appeal moot.¹

On October 27, 1999, appellant was requested to respond to the motion of the Department. Appellant has not responded as requested.

ORDER

The appeal is dismissed.²

TED HUNT, CHAIRMAN
RAY T. BLAIR, JR., MEMBER
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL
APPEALS BOARD

¹The Motion to Dismiss, dated September 15, 1999, is set forth in the appendix.

²This final order is filed in accordance with Business and Professions Code §23088, and shall become effective 30 days following the date of the filing of this order as provided by §23090.7 of said code.

Any party, before this final order becomes effective, may apply to the appropriate court of appeal, or the California Supreme Court, for a writ of review of this final order in accordance with Business and Professions Code §23090 et seq.